

**Harbourfront Commission
Task Force on Harbourfront Developments
in Kowloon, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing**

Minutes of Thirty-third Meeting

Date : 18 December 2018
Time : 9:30 a.m.
Venue : Conference Room (Room G46) at Upper Ground Floor,
Hong Kong Heritage Discovery Centre, Kowloon Park,
Tsim Sha Tsui

Present

Prof Becky LOO Chair

Members

Mr Andy LEWIS	Representing Business Environment Council
Mrs Karen BARRETTO	Representing Friends of the Earth
Mr Freddie HAI	Representing Hong Kong Institute of Architects
Ms Connie CHEUNG	Representing Hong Kong Institute of Landscape Architects
Prof TANG Bo-sin	Representing Hong Kong Institute of Planners
Sr Francis LAM	Representing Hong Kong Institute of Surveyors
Mr TAM Po-yiu	Representing Hong Kong Institute of Urban Design
Ir Raymond CHAN Kin-sek	Representing Hong Kong Institution of Engineers
Mr Paul ZIMMERMAN	Representing Society for Protection of the Harbour
Mr Ken SO	Representing the Conservancy Association
Ir Janice LAI Wai-man	Individual Member
Prof Raymond FUNG	Co-opted Member
Mr Derek HUNG	Co-opted Member
Mr YUEN Hoi-man	Co-opted Member

Official Members

Ms Doris HO	Deputy Secretary (Planning and Lands) ¹ , Development Bureau (DEVB)
Mr Edward LEUNG	Senior Manager (Tourism) 21, Tourism Commission (TC)
Mr David NGU	Chief Traffic Engineer/Kowloon, Transport Department (TD)
Mr Raymond LEE	Chief Engineer /S1, Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)
Mrs Doris FOK	Assistant Director (Leisure Services) ¹ , Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD)
Mr Derek CHEUNG	District Planning Officer/ Tsuen Wan & West Kowloon (Atg.), Planning Department (PlanD)
Mr Henry LAI	Secretary

In Attendance

Miss Rosalind CHEUNG	Principal Assistant Secretary (Harbour), DEVB
Mr Ian CHENG	Assistant Secretary (Harbour)2, DEVB
Mr William CHAN	Project Manager (Harbour), DEVB
Mr Carlos FUNG	Engineer (Harbour), DEVB
Ms Daphne LI	Architect (Harbour)1, DEVB
Mr Rex LAI	Engineer (Harbour) Special Duties, DEVB
Mr HC TONG	Architect (Harbour)2, DEVB

Absent with Apologies

Mr Terence LEE	Representing Real Estate Developers Association of Hong Kong
Ms Christina LEE	Individual Member
Mr Alan LO	Individual Member
Mr NGAN Man-yu	Individual Member
Ms Nixie LAM	Co-opted Member
Dr Edmund LEE	Co-opted Member
Mr WONG Yiu-chung	Co-opted Member

For Agenda Item 3

Ms Elaine YEUNG	Assistant Director (Performing Arts), Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD)
Ms Fionn YEUNG	Chief Manager (Urban/Cultural Services), Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD)
Mr LI Kuen-fat	District Leisure Manager (Yau Tsim Mong), Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD)
Mr LAU Hoi-wah	Officer in Charge of District Traffic Team, Yau Tsim District, Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF)
Mr YUEN Wai-lun	Assistant Officer in Charge of Event Management Office, Yau Tsim District, Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF)
Ms Fiona WAN	General Manager -Public Affairs, New World Development Company Limited
Mr Jeff TUNG	Senior Project Director, New World Development Company Limited
Mr Daniel PANG	Senior Project Manager -Architecture, New World Development Company Limited
Ms Joyce TONG	Director, Avenue of Stars Management Limited

For Agenda Item 4

Mr Edmund CHAN	Chief Engineer /S2, Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)
Ms Justina KWOK	Senior Architect (S), Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)
Mr Derek SUN	Head of Planning and Development, West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCD)
Mr YC NG	Head of Technical Services, West Kowloon Cultural

Mr Patrick LAM	District Authority (WKCD A) Senior Traffic and Transport Engineer, West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCD A)
Mr Julian WONG	Urban Designer, West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCD A)

Action

Welcoming Message

The Chair welcomed all to the meeting. She informed the meeting that Mr Edward LEUNG, Senior Manager of Tourism Commission attended the meeting on behalf of Mr Simpson LO.

Item 1 Confirmation of Minutes of the 30th and 31st Meetings

1.1 **The Chair** informed Members that the draft minutes of the 32nd meeting were circulated on 12 December 2018. The revised draft minutes were circulated to Members on 17 December 2018. The Task Force confirmed the minutes of the 32nd meeting.

Item 2 Matters Arising

2.1 No matters arising were raised at the meeting

Item 3 Updates on Avenue of Stars (Paper No. TFK/09/2018)

Introduction

3.1 **The Chair** welcomed representatives of Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) and the New World Development (NWD) to the meeting and invited Members to declare any conflict of interest. **Ir Janice LAI** declared that she had business dealings with the LCSD and the project proponent but was not involved in the proposed project. The Chair decided that Ir LAI could remain at the meeting.

Presentation by the LCSD and the NWD

- 3.2 **Ms Elaine YEUNG, Mr Jeff TUNG and Ms Joyce TONG** updated the Task Force on the progress of the revitalisation of the Avenue of Stars (AoS) with the aid of a PowerPoint.

Discussion

General comments on the AoS

- 3.3 **Mr Andy LEWIS, Mr PY TAM, Mr Derek HUNG, Mr Freddie HAI, Ms Connie CHEUNG, Mr Ken SO and Prof TANG Bo-sin** expressed appreciation to the project proponent for the creative design of the revitalised AoS. **Mr PY TAM** appreciated that a lot of local elements had been injected into the AoS which could set a good example for future harbourfront development.
- 3.4 To enable the AoS to respond to future demand more flexibly and effectively, **Prof TANG Bo-sin** enquired if ample space had been reserved for future development. He would also like to have information on measures taken to strengthen resilience to climate change. **Ms Fiona WAN** responded that substantial space was reserved for future expansion such as provision of additional handprint plaques and statues. **Mr Jeff TUNG** replied that factor of climate change had been fully taken into account during the design stage.
- 3.5 On the two enclosed water bodies located north of the AoS, **Ms Connie CHEUNG** said it was worth exploring the possibility of making use of them as an integral part and extension of AoS, thus this precious piece of public open space could be expanded and not being constrained by its linear and relatively narrow configuration. More innovative and bold ideas should be injected into the use of this 'water and land' public open space thereby enhancing the vibrancy of the AoS and promoting Hong Kong as an innovative and vibrant international city. **Mr Jeff TUNG** responded that water features and floating stage in form of pontoon could be installed at the enclosed water bodies adjacent to the InterContinental Hotel. Wave energy demonstration would also be operated for environmental education purpose.

- 3.6 **Mr Derek HUNG** asked whether the revitalised AoS would provide additional performance area for street performance. **Ms Fiona WAN** replied that the AoS would not be opened to public for performance at the first half-year after its re-opening. To bring vibrancy to the location, they had already arranged performance entities to perform at the AoS after its re-opening. **Ms Elaine YEUNG** shared the experience at the Hong Kong Cultural Centre (HKCC) piazza that LCSD would issue verbal advice to street performers whose performances involved monetary transactions, obstruction of passage or would likely to cause annoyance, inconvenience or disturbance to others.

Enhancing movie features and soft content

- 3.7 **Mr PY TAM** said that the static movie features alone might not comprehensively present the golden era of Hong Kong movie. He suggested liaising with the Hong Kong Film Archive in organising activities at the AoS to promote Hong Kong movies. With the re-opening of AoS, he asked if location filming would be allowed and how it would be arranged. **Sr Francis LAM** concurred his views. In consideration of the site constraint for organising mega exhibitions, he further suggested setting up a permanent exhibition on “Hong Kong Movies” in the renovated Hong Kong Museum of Art. **Ms Fiona WAN** responded that to revamp movie elements at AoS, digital solutions such as QR code would be applied to provide information, photos and video clips of the artists. Location filming was allowed by submitting applications in advance to the AoS Management Limited.
- 3.8 To further promote Hong Kong movies, **Mr Ken SO** considered it appropriate to collaborate with the Hong Kong Film Awards Association in organising events related to Hong Kong Film Awards at the AoS which would be held at HKCC in April 2019. He also asked if the effect of photo-taking was considered in the lighting design, as he reckoned that much lighting set up at the back of the Statue of Bruce LEE. **Ms Fiona WAN** said that the Hong Kong Film Awards Association was an important partner of the AoS. Timeslots had been reserved for them to hold movie-related activities thereat. **Mr Jeff TUNG** added that the lighting was dimmable and it would not affect photo-taking at night.

- 3.9 **Ms Connie CHEUNG** asked if the AoS was designed for specific target groups. She opined that if the target group was international tourists, it would be better to emphasise on the promotion of Bruce LEE. She further added that it might be more attractive if Bruce LEE's statue could be relocated with Central or Wan Chai as a backdrop. **Mr Jeff TUNG** responded that agreement of relevant fan groups such as Bruce Lee Club and Mui Nation had been sought on the orientation of the statues of Bruce LEE and Anita MUI.
- 3.10 **Ir Janice LAI** asked whether there was an advance reservation system online for international tourists to register for the movie walks. Also, she enquired if there would be any movie related activities such as outdoor movie screening. **Ms Fiona WAN** responded that the AoS would not be able to accommodate too many visitors for outdoor movie screening. That said, the adjacent Salisbury Garden would be a more desirable location for such activities. She added that they had coordinated with the Hong Kong Tourism Board for promoting movie walks for international tourists. Tourists could make online registration to the guided tours before they arrived at Hong Kong. **Ms Elaine YEUNG** said that outdoor movie screening activities were previously organised at the piazza of HKCC in autumn. Given that the AoS was relatively narrow, it might not be suitable to accommodate screening activities there. To enhance movie elements, the new tenant of the café at eastern end of the AoS would be required to design and decorate the premise with the theme of "Art and Movie Café" with an objective to promote film, local arts and the AoS. A corner of the café would be reserved for movies screening as appropriate.
- 3.11 **Prof Raymond FUNG** asked, and **the Chair** responded that the Management Committee of AoS would be responsible for display arrangement of handprints and statues in consultation with the Hong Kong Film Award Association and relevant parties.

Sustainability highlights of AoS

- 3.12 The meeting noted that a lot of environmentally friendly materials were used in the construction of AoS, and provision of shade and greenery had also been increased. **Mr Derek**

HUNG appreciated the effort made by the project proponent in promoting sustainable development and green environment. **Mr Ken SO** considered that there should be more shading along the way to Bruce LEE's statue. **Ir Janice LAI** said that the climbers might need two to three years to grow before they could fully cover the green trellis. She enquired whether temporary shading would be provided during this period. **Mr Jeff TUNG** responded that some of the parasols were yet to be installed. They would further check if more shading should be provided along the AoS. As for climbers, he said that they had planted two rows of climbers from top down and bottom up so that it could have a wider coverage for trellis.

- 3.13 **Ir Raymond CHAN** was concerned about the safety of the green trellis as it appeared to attract climbing. **Mr Jeff TUNG** responded that safety issues had been considered during the design stage.
- 3.14 Speaking of sustainable development, **Mr Ken SO** suggested that the operators for mobile carts and kiosk could provide environmentally friendly packaging and tableware for their products. **Ms Joyce TONG** replied that environmentally friendly elements were considered in the selection of operators for mobile carts and kiosk.
- 3.15 **Ms Connie CHEUNG** asked about the information on SITE certification. In particular, whether there was a monitoring mechanism on temperature at site. Nevertheless, even if this is not part of the certification, it is worth to do a headcount on the visitors of AoS in relation to the air temperature and ground temperature, throughout the year including the extreme temperature in summer and winter, for analysing the climate impact on the site and its usage. So as to find out operational ways to maximise AoS usage to overcome the climatic impact, i.e. to maximise the enjoyment of general public and all users under every kind of climatic condition. **Mr Jeff TUNG** responded that SITE certification was a continuous rating system for innovation and sustainability performance of projects with or without buildings. Every details of the AoS, including the materials used and the ability of the project design to support sustainable operations in future had to be reviewed and areas of enhancement had to be identified wherever possible.

Pedestrian and vehicular flow

- 3.16 **Mr Derek HUNG** enquired about the provision of coach parking and pick-up/set-down facilities at the AoS. He considered it more effective to introduce an advance notification mechanism to enable relevant parties to be notified of the number of coaches visiting the AoS every day so that the vehicular flow could be better managed especially during peak period. **Ms Fiona WAN** responded that the Management Committee of the AoS which comprised of relevant Government departments as well as the Task Force Chair and the Chairman of Yau Tsim Mong District Council (YTM DC) was proactively working with relevant stakeholders in addressing the coach parking issue. A notification mechanism involving LCSD, Transport Department (TD) and the tourism trade would be set up to better manage the vehicular flow at the area.
- 3.17 **Sr Francis LAM** asked about crowd management plan during festive occasion such as the International Chinese New Year Parade or New Year Fireworks Display. He also enquired if TD had any plan to increase the number of coach parking spaces nearby, for example, to make use of Government vacant land or under-utilised place, as well as to explore possibility of using vacant lanes at bus terminus for temporary coach parking. **Ms Fiona WAN** replied that provision of additional coach parking spaces was being explored as a medium-term measure. She added that the Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF) would also assist in crowd and vehicle management especially during festive occasions. **Mr YUEN Wai-lun** responded that on the premise of public safety, congregation of large numbers of people would not be allowed especially during the festive occasions.
- 3.18 **Mr Freddie HAI** echoed Sr LAM's views. He considered that coach parking problem could not rely solely on Police enforcement but a better plan from TD would be necessary. He asked if TD had liaised with YTM DC on coach parking issue at the area. He observed that the Tsim Sha Tsui East (Mody Road) Public Transport Interchange (PTI) was underutilised and suggested making use of the PTI for coach parking if possible. **The Chair** asked if there was a need to review bus routes at Tsim Sha Tsui East (Mody Road) PTI to facilitate better traffic circulation along Tsim Sha Tsui East. **Mr Derek HUNG** said that TD would consult YTM DC on the

district bus route planning every year and there should be an imminent need to retain the bus routes at Tsim Sha Tsui East (Mody Road) PTI for serving public need. YTM DC had been discussing with TD on the traffic and coach parking issue on the area in early years. He considered that it was feasible to provide coach parking at Tsim Sha Tsui East (Mody Road) PTI as an interim measure for solving coach parking issue at the area. **Mr David NGU** said that TD had conducted annual review to rationalise bus routes and consulted relevant District Councils, and TD had also joined hands with relevant departments to deal with various provisions of coach parking and loading/unloading facilities for the reopening of the AoS. In addition, TD had planned to extend the use of Short Term Tenancy sites at Wah Shun Street and Bailey Street for coach parking spaces. In medium term, TD was exploring to provide some coach parking spaces at the Science Museum Square. In the longer term, TD was endeavouring to identify suitable government sites such as open space or government premises for development of underground parking spaces.

- 3.19 **Ms Connie CHEUNG** opined that pedestrian and vehicular flow were key issues to be addressed, bearing in mind the linear and relatively narrow configuration of AoS. A smooth pedestrian flow from one end to the other is important. The pedestrian movement should be well projected so that the pick-up/set down points could be better arranged in order to facilitate smooth pedestrian circulation between the ends of AoS. Noting there is only one drop off and pick up point at one end, an additional drop off / pick up point should be added to the other end of AoS. **The Chair** concurred and asked if it was possible to provide additional pickup/set down facilities at the AoS. **Mr David NGU** responded that there were about 10 coach lay-by at Salisbury Road and it would be increased to 12 coach lay-by soon to address the emerging needs.

Management and operation of AoS

- 3.20 **Mr Andy LEWIS** enquired about the management, operation and maintenance arrangement of the AoS in long term. **Ms Fiona WAN** replied that a Management Committee of the AoS had been set up for engaging relevant stakeholders, including various Government departments and relevant stakeholders such as YTM DC, the Task Force and Tourism Board, for exchanging views on the operation and management of the

AoS in a holistic approach, taking into consideration programme planning and strategic development of the adjacent facilities. AoS Management Limited would be responsible for the daily operation and maintenance of the AoS.

- 3.21 **Ir Raymond CHAN** suggested introducing electronic payment system for mobile carts and kiosks to avoid long queue during the period with peak visitor arrivals. **Ms Fiona WAN** responded that they would consider Ir CHAN's suggestion.

Way Forward

- 3.22 **The Chair** thanked the LCSD and the NWD for their good work in the revitalisation of the AoS. The Task Force gave a round of applause to appreciate the work of the project team. Specifically, the Chair wished that there could be better use of technology such as electronic payment system or smart enforcement camera, and the momentum of promoting sustainable development could be maintained. She proposed and Members agreed that herself to join the Management Committee of AoS in the capacity of the Task Force Chair to give views and contribute to the development of the AoS in future.

Item 4 Progress Update on the West Kowloon Cultural District (Paper No. TFK/10/2018)

- 4.1 **The Chair** welcomed representatives of Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) and the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA) to the meeting and invited Members to declare any conflict of interest. **Mr Freddie HAI** declared that he was directly involved in the Palace Museum project at the West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD) and **Prof Raymond FUNG** declared that he was a member of WKCD Development Committee. **The Chair** decided that Mr HAI should refrain from giving comments related to Palace Museum and Prof FUNG should refrain from giving comments on the presentation.

Presentation by the WKCDA

- 4.1 With the aid of a PowerPoint, **Mr Derek SUN** and **Mr Edmund CHAN** briefed members on the development progress of WKCD.

Discussion

Connectivity

- 4.2 **Mr Freddie HAI** enquired if the vehicle flyover from Austin Road West spanning across the Western Harbour Crossing Toll Plaza would be implemented. He opined that if the proposed flyover would be built, consultation should start earlier in order to tie with expiry of the franchise of Western Harbour Crossing. **Mr YC NG** responded that the construction of linkage would not be implemented before the expiry of the franchise of Western Harbour Crossing.
- 4.3 **Mr Derek HUNG** opined that the proposed vehicular linkage in the west of the Western Harbour Crossing Exit connecting WKCD with Route 3 could relieve traffic load.
- 4.4 To facilitate smooth pedestrian flow, **Sr Francis LAM** asked about the width of the Artist Square Bridge and urged for its early completion. **The Chair** also enquired if construction of the Artist Square Bridge and the Integrated Basement could be commenced earlier. **Mr YC NG** replied that the width of the Artist Square Bridge was 7.5m. As it was proposed to have planters be placed on both sides, the clear width of the bridge was 6.5m. **Mr Edmund CHAN** said that the Civil Engineering and Development Department would seek funding approval from the Legislative Council's Financial Committee in 2019. Advance works for the construction of the bridge had been commenced partly since July 2018.
- 4.5 **Mr Paul ZIMMERMAN** enquired about the progress of the proposed footbridge from WKCD across Austin Road to Kowloon Park. Also, he was disappointed about the design of the existing pedestrian subway which spanned across the junction of Austin Road West and Canton Road and opined that there was a need to have a better design of underground road

works with more artistic element. **Mr Derek SUN** responded that the implementation of footbridge connecting the WKCD with Kowloon Park would depend on the progress of the relocation of the TST Fire Station. Meanwhile, another footbridge connecting the China Ferry Terminal with the WKCD promenade would be provided and it could link up the WKCD with Kowloon Park by passing through the China Ferry Terminal. **Mr YC NG** said that the public road at the integrated basement would follow the standard of Highways Department and there would be air-conditioned lobbies for public use.

Interim promenade

- 4.6 **Mr Andy LEWIS** commented that the existing connectivity between the eastern and western part of WKCD was far from satisfactory. Noting that improvement works might not be carried out within a short period, he asked if it was possible to provide a temporary access along waterfront to connect Xiqu Centre and M+. **The Chair** shared his views. **Mr Derek SUN** responded that the WKCDA had actively explored the possibility of an interim promenade. Unfortunately, as a number of construction works were underway at the centre part of WKCD, it was difficult to providing an interim promenade linking the western and eastern part of WKCD. The interim promenade, if it had to be built, would have to be 5m wide, which might have safety implication on it. Also, the present Fire Service landing steps would disconnect the interim promenade linking to Xiqu Centre.
- 4.7 **Ir Raymond CHAN** concerned about the short, medium and long-term development of promenade in WKCD. **Mr Derek SUN** replied that a 1.3km promenade had been completed from Yau Ma Tei Typhoon Shelter to Mass Transit Railway (MTR) Tung Chung Line ventilation shaft. There would be a promenade linking M+ and Palace Museum soon for public enjoyment.
- 4.8 **Mr Derek HUNG** asked whether the fire service landing step was under the jurisdiction of WKCDA or Fire Services Department (FSD). He considered that the area could be shared with public when it is not used and closed during emergency operation so that an interim promenade could be built to connect the Eastern and Western part of WKCD. **Mr Paul ZIMMERMAN** echoed his views. He considered that it would

be fine if the interim promenade could be built with 5 metre wide. The promenade could be closed to ensure safety during festive occasions. **Mr Derek SUN** replied that the Fire Service landing step was under the jurisdiction of FSD and the nearby breakwater was Marine Department's facility. They would further explore the possibility of co-use if relocation was not possible.

Autonomous Vehicle

4.9 **The Chair** praised that the autonomous vehicle was innovative and it helped visitors navigate the district in a more convenient way especially for the less able ones. As the autonomous vehicle was equipped with sensors to detect objects in its path and their speed were slow, it offered an environmentally friendly mobility solution that interacted safely with pedestrians. She encouraged the WKCD to conduct survey on site to provide a full picture on visitors' opinions on the vehicle. **Mr YC NG** replied that a survey had been conducted to visitors who had participated in the trial ride of autonomous vehicle. As of November 2018, more than 5,000 had participated in the trial ride and over 10% of them completed the survey. Most of them supported the use of autonomous vehicle to serve visitors within WKCD.

4.10 **Mr Paul ZIMMERMAN** concerned about usage of autonomous vehicle along the promenade. He said that the original traffic plan of WKCD was to divert all vehicles underground and pedestrians could be stayed at-grade to enjoy a large and vehicle-free open space. Disabled people would have their own mobility devices and need not use the autonomous vehicle for navigating around WKCD.

Relocation of Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station

4.11 **Mr Freddie HAI** and **Mr Paul ZIMMERMAN** enquired about the relocation programme of the Tsim Sha Tsui (TST) Fire Station. If relocation could not be done in the near future, they asked whether there would have any interim solution such as beautification works so that it could better integrate with the WKCD. **Mr Derek SUN** responded that the non-emergency facilities of the TST Fire Station had been relocated, while a temporary open space at FSD workshop area had also been

opened for public use.

Other Comments

- 4.12 **The Chair** suggested that the proposed marine access points could be used for ferry or water taxi services.
- 4.13 **Mr PY TAM** asked whether WKCDA had any plan for tree preservation in particular after Typhoon Mangkhut. **Mr Derek SUN** responded that trees planted at Nursery Park had grown and now transplanted to Art Park. They had reviewed tree conditions after Typhoon Mangkhut, and would keep monitoring by Geographic Information System and select suitable species for planting in future.
- 4.14 **Mr Freddie HAI** observed that the road marking at Austin Road West has been revised several times by painted in black which was potentially dangerous to drivers especially during rainy days. In addition, he said that illegal parking problem was serious at Austin Road near Tak Shun School and tail back to Austin Road West.

Way Forward

- 4.15 **The Chair** thanked Members' comments on the progress update presented by the WKCDA. She added that a site visit to WKCD was arranged on 31 January 2019 so that members could have a better understanding on issues of concern on site.

Item 5 Any Other Business

A. *Date of Next Meeting*

- 5.1 **The Chair** said that the Secretariat would inform Members on the schedule of the next Task Force meeting in due course.

B. Tsim Sha Tsui East Promenade

- 5.2 **Mr Andy LEWIS** raised concern on the connectivity between Tsim Sha Tsui East to Hung Hom and hoped that the issue could be looked into in the consultancy study on enhancing visitors' experience and connectivity from the hinterland to and within harbourfront areas that was presented in the Harbourfront Commission meeting held in June 2018.

C. Tsim Sha Tsui Star Ferry Pier

- 5.3 **Mr Paul ZIMMERMAN** urged for improvement works to be carried out at the Tsim Sha Tsui Star Ferry Pier as it was a major tourist attraction in Hong Kong. **Prof Raymond FUNG** shared his view.
- 5.4 There being no other business, the meeting adjourned at 12:25 pm.

**Secretariat
Task Force on Harbourfront Developments
in Kowloon, Tsuen Wan and Kwai Tsing
Harbourfront Commission
March 2019**